Environmental Economics and Environmental Policy No. 11

Part 3: Economic Evaluation on Environmental Policy and Project

In this part, we will discuss policy evaluation and economic evaluation of environmental policy, focusing on Cost of Illness (COI), Stated Preference (SP), and Revealed Preference (RP).

1. Contingent Valuation Method (CVM) and Travel Cost Method (TCM)

1.1 SP: Stated Preference: evaluation of Total Environmental Value

CVM: Contingent Valuation Method1947: Ciriacy-Wantrups1958: National Park Service, recreation value of Delaware River1989: Exxon Valdez Oil Spill

CJ: Conjoint Method Market Rresearch method

Questionnaire Design Questionnaire Survey Method Design bias Strategic bias Operational bias Hypothetical bias NOAA Guidelines (1995) CVM User's Guide (Carson 1999) etc.

Reliability Scope sensitivity

Validity: Social Context

Cost of Stated preference method Meta Analysis Benefit Transfer

1.2 RP: Revealed Preference Method

TCM: evaluation of Indirect Use Value 1947: National Park Service 1949: Hotelling Hedonic Price Methods 1928: Waugh

2. References

- Kochi, I., S. Matsuoka, M. A. Memon, and H. Shirakawa (2001), "Cost benefit analysis of the sulfur dioxide emissions control policy in Japan", *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies*, 4(4), pp.219-233 <u>http://www.f.waseda.jp/smatsu/</u>
- Memon, A. M. and S. Matsuoka (2002), "Validity of contingent valuation estimates from developing countries: scope sensitivity analysis", *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies*, 5(1), pp.39-61
- Vassanadumrongdee, S., S. Matsuoka, and H. Shirakawa(2004), Meta-analysis of contingent Valuation Studies on air pollution-related morbidity risks, *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies*, 6(2), pp.11-47.

3. Schedule of Course Work

1. Introduction: Theory and practice of Environmental Economics and Environmental Policy (9/28)

Part 1: Historical Development of Environmental Policies

- We will review the history of pollution and combating against pollution in Japan.
- 2. Air Pollution and Policy (10/5), (10/12 will be closed)
- 3. Water Pollution and Policy (10/19)

4. * Students have to make a short report and presentation about the situation of major pollution issues (air and water) and ambient standards in your home country. (10/26)

Part 2: Theory of Environmental Policies

We will see three basic types of environmental policies, Command and Control (CAC), Market Based Instruments (MBIs), and Voluntary Approach (VA) and their efficiency.

5. CAC and MBIs and the comparison of their efficiency (1) 11/2

- 6. CAC and MBIs and the comparison of their efficiency (2) 11/9
- 7. Voluntary Approaches and theory of Policy Mix 11/16
- 8. Climate Change Policy 11/30

9. * Students have to a short report and presentation about the pollution control policy (air, water and climate) in your home country. 12/7

Part 3: Economic Evaluation on Environmental Policy and Project

In this part, we will discuss policy evaluation and economic evaluation of environmental policy, focusing on Cost of Illness (COI), Stated Preference (SP), and Revealed Preference (RP).

10. PDCA Cycle and Policy Evaluation 12/14

- 11. Cost Benefit analysis in Environmental Policy 12/21
- 12. Economic Valuation on Environmental Policy-COI, SP and RP 1/11
- 13. Contingent Valuation Method (CVM) and Travel Cost Method (TCM) 1/18
- 14. The Design of Environmental Policy 1/25

15. * Students have to a short report and presentation about Policy Evaluation of the pollution control policy (air, water or climate) in your home country. Concluding remarks 1/25

"hypothetical" goods or services respondents had confidence that the WTP values are only required to whether delivery of the goods can be sustained over time within the set of			4. Constructing joint public-private CV scenarios Village development associations (VDAs) are prime stakeholders, and	 Interpreting responses to contingent valuation questions Enterpreting responses to contingent valuation questions Setting relevandum prices (90%–95% rejection for Though our study is based on iterative bidding, the highest bid was prohighest price) 		o downward stope N; then WTP		Mode of survey: in-person survey (NOAA) Nonrespondens: treatment Professional interviewers	Focus groups: outputs, language, pilot studies Population sample for public good Data: bigger sample for continuous variable	Survey method (open-ended is less in priority) Supressions to know the reliability Relevant characteristics (demographic, attitudes)	Institutional setting for providing goods Manner in which goods will be paid for	general context dis to be offered	mption	Start with describing accurate scenario			 idelines for WTP studies (WASH 1988) Household characteristics Avoiding biases Bidding game: turning from upward to downward slope then take mid-point (\$1.0 Y and \$1.5 N; then WTP is \$1.25) Team building to cover major aspects (Whittington 1998) Team building to cover major aspects (Whittington 1998) Explaining what a contingent study is all about Explaining what a contingent study is all about Explaining what a contingent valuation question for highest price) Constructing joint public-private CV scenarios Ethical problems in conducting contingent valuation surveys (a) When is the use of a referendum elicitation proceddu rethical? (b) How honest should one be about the institutional regimem contemplated for delivering the "hypothetical" goods or services
- edure	1 stope restions or 1D Pr	T a stope Prestions C T T Pr	1 stope Pr v V r D D D D	a stope	to downward slope i N: then WTP			Professional interviewers	Mode of survey: in-person survey (NUAA) Nonrespondents: treatment	Focus groups: outputs, language, pilot studies Population sample for public good Data: bigger sample for continuous variable Mode of survey; in-person survey (NOAA) Nonrespondents: treatment	Survey method to pene-media is less in priority) Debriefing questions to know the reliability Relevant characteristics (demographic, attitudes) Focus groups: outputs, inaguage, pilot studies Population sample for public good Data: bigger sample for continuous variable More of sturvey: (nOAA) Nonrespondents: treatment	Institutional setting for providing goods Manner in which goods will be paid for Manner in which goods will be paid for Debriefing questions to know the reliability Relevant characteristics (demographic, attitudes) Focus groups: outputs, language, pilot studies Population sample for public good Data: bigger sample for continuous variable Mode of survey: in-person survey (NOAA) Nonrespondents: treatment	des to be offered viding goods viding goods d is less in priority) ow the reliability tanger pilot, attitudes) guage, pilot, attitudes) guage, pilot, attitudes) guage, pilot, attitudes) aurey (NOAA)	MTP on consumption fing questions dist to be offered viding goods the paid for d is less in priority) ow the reliability emographic, artitudes) guage. plot studies fire good nitiuuous variable atrive (NOAA)	Interview in person rather than on the telephone Future ration and the nistorical event Referendum format (in contrast to open-ended) Start with descripting accurate scenario Reminder for an effect of WTP on consumption Reminder for an stretcs of WTP on consumption Reminder for austituces Follow-up questions/debriefing questions iser's guide (Cason 1999) Introductory section to set general context Detailed description of goods to be offered Institutional secting for providing goods Manner in which goods will be paid for Survey method (open-ended is less in priority) Relevant characteristics (demographic, attitudes) Foous groups: outputs, language, pilot studies Debriefing questions to tubitic good Data: bigger sample for continuous variable Mode of sturvey. (NOAA) Nonrespondents: treatment		
ATP on consumption ATP on consumption fing questions general context dis to be offered viding goods at is tess in priority) ow the reliability ow the reliability in priority) ow the reliability ow the reliability is so in priority ow the reliability is so in priority ow the reliability ow the reliability on the goods in goods in goods in goods in priority is v. utility bills or ware wigh values or ware to the sounderstood variable coefficients whigh values (open-ended) is variable coefficients is (whitington 1998) is to the work the institutional ferendum elicitation procedure be about the institutional	ATP on consumption 110 MTP on consumption 201 fing questions 110 general context 200 viding goods 200 viding goods 110 an order reliability 200 ow the reliability 200	ATP on consumption fing questions fing questions fing questions des to be offered viding goods in be paid for viding goods in be paid for d is less in priority) ow the reliability ow the reliability in the set indices lic good in the the sunderstood variable survey (NOAA) t const the reliability is avery (NOAA) t is v. utility bills or make these understood variable coefficients evily bills or make these understood variable coefficients evily values (open-ended) is zero (discrete) economic values with 1988) t u upward to downward stope Y and \$1.5 N: then WTP officients evily is all about ontingent valuation questions (90%–95% rejection for rivate CV scenarios	ATP on consumption fing questions fing questions fing questions des to be offered viding goods di stess in priority) concrete abality on the reliability emographic, attitudes) guage, pilot studies fing good fing good attitudes) guage, pilot studies fing good attitudes) page, pilot studies fing good attitudes) and the coefficients on a coefficients on a coefficients on a coefficients on a coefficients on a coefficients attivey (NOAA) t survey	ATP on consumption fing questions fing questions des to be offered viding goods in be paid for viding goods at is tess in priority) ow the reliability ow the reliability ow the reliability ow the reliability is see pilot studies lic good intinuous variable survey (NOAA) t survey (NOAA) t comate these understood variable coefficients ev high values (open-ended) t zero (discrete) ev high values (open-ended) t zero (discrete) conomic values ward to downward stope Y and \$1.5 N: then WTP y and \$1.5 N: then WTP is all about test wing values and a bout to returb is all about to tabut y is all about	ATP on consumption fing questions fing questions des to be offered viding goods in be paid for viding goods d is less in priority) ow the reliability ow the reliability ow the reliability ow the reliability is sen priority) ow the reliability is sen priority) ow the reliability is sen priority ow the reliability is sen priority is good in goods in goods is good in the teas understood variable coefficients warfable	ATP on consumption fing questions fing questions des to be offered viding goods in be paid for viding goods in be paid for viding goods in be paid for the paid for ow the reliability ow the reliability ow the reliability ow the reliability in good for guage, pilot studies guage, pilot studies for good for good for studies of the studies of discuts to the for the stude stood variable coefficients ew high values open-ended) conomic values sconomic values for the stood for the	ATP on consumption fing questions fing questions general context data to be offered viding goods in be paid offered viding goods d is less in priority) ow the reliability ow the reliability ow the reliability ow the reliability in good fing good fing good the goods of the set offered survey (NOAA) f rurvey (NOAA) f rurvey (NOAA) f rurvey (NOAA) f rurvey (NOAA) f rurvey (NOAA) f rurvey (NOAA) f rurvey (open-ended) set of distrets ew high values (open-ended) zeonomic values	ate scenario WTP on consumption fing questions general context adds to be offered adds to be offered adds to be offered ad is less in priority) ou di a less in priority) ad the reliability emographic, attitudes) lic good in geod	ate scenario WTP on consumption fing questions general context des to be offered viding goods I be paid for di is less in priority) ed is test in priority) emographic, attitudes)	ate scenario WTP on consumption fing questions general context dats to be offered viding goods 1 be paid for	ate scenario WTP on consumption fing questions des to be offered	Start with describing accurate scenario Reminder for an effect of WTP on consumption Reminder for substitutes Follow-up questions/debriefing questions	Start with describing accurate scenario			Introductory statement and also detailed semistructured interview befor starting CV survey Yes, reminding of impact on their spending pattern Discussing alternatives for avoiding/curring diseases Informal discussion at the end of interview Yes (same as NOAA) Yes, water quality and quantity will be improved Yes, water quality and quantity will be improved Yes, through village development association House connections Bidding game (same as NOAA) Yes, household characteristics and water use pattern Water supply Sindhi and Urdu: one pilot study Proportionate sample to cover whole community About 30%–40% of whole community was covered In ergenson (same as NOAA) Not applicable A team of professionals conducted survey (sociologist, economist, doct Continuous payment through water fee WTP questionative only Not of results are plausible	 Start with describing accurate scenario Reminder for an effect of WTP on consumption Reminder for substitutes Follow-up dustions/debriefing questions auser's guide (Carson 1999) Introductory section to set general context Introductory section to set general context Introductory section of goods to be offered Institutional setting for providing goods Institutional setting for providing goods Banner in which goods will be bail for Servey method (open-ended is less in priority) Debriefing questions to know the reliability Relevant characteristics (demographic, attitudes) Fours groups: unputs, language, pilot studies Population sample for public good Data: bigger sample for continuous variable Nourespondents: treatment Professional interviewers WTA questions: in presson survey (NOAA) Norrespondents: treatment Professional interviewers WTP questions: difficult to make these understood WTP question: difficult to make